## PEA LEAF ARCHITECTURE: THE INTERACTION OF af, tl AND tac

,

Marx, G.A.

NYS Agricultural Experiment Station Geneva, New York 14456, USA

In a recent review (1) describing the actions and interactions among genes affecting foliar architecture, several recent observations were excluded from the discussion. Some new observations concerning the af tl interaction versus the  $\underline{af}$   $\underline{tl}$   $\underline{tac}$  interaction are worth placing on record.

The <u>af</u> <u>tl</u> interaction, giving rise to the pleiofila phenotype, is well known and scarcely needs reiteration here. But the phenotype change occasioned with the addition of tac to this combination does deserve comment. The leaves of  $\underline{af}$   $\underline{tl}$  plants, like those of  $\underline{af}$   $\underline{Tl}$  plants, are characterized by a three dimensional configuration, specifically a spherical mass of tendrils or tiny leaflets. This spherical configuration persists throughout the ontogeny of the plant. With the introduction of  $\underline{tac}$  (i.e.  $\underline{af}$   $\underline{tl}$   $\underline{tac}$ ) three marked alterations occur. Two such modifications that have already been described are the decrease in the number of lamina with a concomitant increase in the size of the lamina on each leaf. There is, however, a striking difference associated with the introduction of <u>tac</u>. Whereas the lower leaves of <u>af tl</u> <u>tac</u> plants, like those of af tl, are essentially three dimensional, the leaves gradually become more or less "two" dimensional and these upper leaves resemble leaves of  $\underline{Af}$  tl plants. They are distinguished from  $\underline{Af}$  tl or from  $\underline{Af}$  tl tac by having more leaflet pairs. The increase in the number of leaflet pairs on the more or less "flat" upper leaves of  $\underline{af} t \underline{l} t \underline{ac}$  plants over the correspondingly situated leaves of <u>Af tl tac</u> isogenic counterparts presumably is due to the action of <u>af</u> in the former combination.

What is most interesting in these relationships, however, is the fact that the introduction of af into an otherwise wild-type background leads to an alteration from a leaf that is essentially a two dimensional flat plane to a leaf which is essentially a three dimensional sphere. This conformation remains essentially unaltered with the introduction of tl, i.e. <u>af tl</u>. Yet, with the addition of <u>tac</u> to the combination (<u>af tl tac</u>) there is a return to the essentially flat plane, at least in the upper leaves of a given plant. Somehow the tac mutant cancels one characteristic effect of af but it does so only in an af tl background, not in an  $\underline{af} \ \underline{Tl}/\underline{Tl}$  or at  $\underline{Tl}/\underline{tl}$  background. This is but one more dramatic example that genes do not stand alone!

1. Marx, G.A. 1987. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 5:311-335.

\*\*\*\*